Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/561,144 | SHIMOMURA ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|---|---|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>28 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC | CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |
| | s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of | of the final rejection. |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that | Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 January 2009. A brief in on the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri | or to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | , |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or | m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres | ponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and | * ** |
| 4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$ | e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | _ |
| non-allowable claim(s). | e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31,32 and 52-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ll not be entered, or b) |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffice was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and v | me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of th | * * * * * |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | · |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but does <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/statement(s)) | SB/08) Paper No(s) |
| 13. | |
| | /Rebecca E. Prouty/ |
| | Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652 |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' amendment after final rejection, filed on 01/28/2009, is acknowledged. In the amendment, claims 55 and 56 have been newly added, which recite new method steps as follows: for claim 55, "3) confirming that the test substance does change the ability of the protein to bind to its receptor by demonstrating that sugar uptake is suppressed under insulin stimulation in skeletal muscle cells" and for claim 56, "3) confirming that the test substance does change the ability of the protein to bind to its receptor by demonstrating that glycogen synthesis is suppressed in skeletal muscle ceils", which have never been examined on the merits. Therefore, Applicants' newly added Claims 55 and 56 would introduce new issues that would require further consideration and/or new search if entered because these limitations have yet to be presented for examination on the merits..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Previous rejections of record remain in light of the non-entry of the Applicants' proposed amendment..